

Dealers' Choice

FALL 2016
Volume 56, Issue 3

OFFICIAL PUBLICATION OF THE TEXAS AUTOMOBILE DEALERS ASSOCIATION



**April Ancira and Taylor Harper
Leading the Way
In Volunteer Service to Texas**

Dealers' Choice

OFFICIAL PUBLICATION OF THE TEXAS AUTOMOBILE DEALERS ASSOCIATION

The Dealers' Choice is a publication of The newsLINK Group and is the official publication of Texas Automobile Dealers Association. The Dealers' Choice is published 4 times per year by The newsLINK Group, LLC. The statements and opinions expressed herein are those of the individual authors and do not necessarily represent the views of Dealers' Choice, or its publisher. The newsLINK Group, LLC. Any legal advice should be regarded as general information. It is strongly recommended that one contact an attorney for counsel regarding specific circumstances. Likewise, the appearance of advertisers does not constitute an endorsement of the products or services featured by The newsLINK Group, LLC.

Dealers' Choice



April Ancira and Taylor Harper
Leading the Way
The Ultimate Volunteers for the Greater Good

About the cover:
In Volunteer Service to Texas



18



6



12



14

- 6** April Ancira: San Antonio's Guardian Angel
- 12** Taylor Harper: The Power of a Loving Mother
- 14** Negotiating Software Contracts - Successfully Negotiating a Warranty Section
- 17** American Financial Wins Dealers Choice Award
- 18** NADA Response to the Federal Trade Commission (Part Two)



Negotiating Software Contracts

– Successfully Negotiating a Warranty Section

Awarranty is one of the most important contract provisions in a software contract. The warranty section deals with the performance of the software and what the licensor promises the software will or will not do. In a software contract these performance warranties should be heavily negotiated but usually they are overlooked. Because so many factors can affect the performance of the software, publishers seek to limit their warranty, and provide limited remedies in the event of a breach. It's important for businesses who license software to have a strategy in place to successfully negotiate this section. But what exactly are the pitfalls when negotiating a warranty provision, and how do you successfully navigate it?

One of the major pitfalls in negotiating a warranty is contained in the structure of the contract provision itself and the intent of the software licensor. Many contracts include boilerplate language, thus, contract negotiators must develop a systematic way to review the language and then develop a strategy to address the warranty concerns for their side of the deal. To do this, the parties must first understand the risks involved with a particular software license and negotiate for the specific risk type.

The best way to put this into action is to review the warranty language and put the language into a more concrete roadmap to negotiate the contract by asking the following questions: (1) what are the licensor's objectives in the warranty section, (2) what are licensee's objectives in the warranty section, (3) what



is a checklist of provisions that should be negotiated in a warranty section, and (4) what is a general checklist of provisions that should be included in a warranty section.

Licensor's objectives in a Warranty section:

Licensors typically want to limit what they promise in their warranties as much as possible, and some limit their warranties to the most restrictive warranty possible, which is an “as-is” warranty — “The Software is provided ‘as-is’ without any warranties”. This type of warranty should never be accepted, and a warranty like this should always be negotiated for greater protections and promises that the software and services will perform.

Licensee's objectives in a Warranty section.

At the very least, the licensee's objective in the warranty section should be the following warranties:

- **Title:** That the licensor owns the software or, at least, has a license to use it.

- **Performance:** Simply, that the software will work.
- **Virus:** That the software does not contain any harmful code.

However, there are risks in seeking the bare minimum warranties listed above. When most licensees see these general warranties, they usually do not negotiate more than what is listed here. Remember, the warranty section is the contract provision dealing with how the software will perform and how the services will be performed. These are very important concepts because a whole host of things can go wrong (e.g., there could be errors, downtime, or failure to perform, etc.).

Additionally, licensees must realize that software is an integral part of how a company does business. If the software does not perform in the way the licensee expects, or wants, then it can have detrimental effects to the bottom line of the business. Therefore, there must be a systematic checklist that a licensee can review to make sure they are negotiating the warranty section correctly. The following is a list of questions that should be answered by a licensee who is negotiating the warranty section.

Checklist of questions that should be asked when negotiating a warranty section on performance warranties:

1. Does the warranty clearly state the standard to which the vendor is subject (e.g., “free from material defects”; “performs substantially in accordance with end user documentation” for software)?
2. Does the warranty specify the time period within which the customer must notify the vendor of any warranty claims?
3. Does the warranty include appropriate exclusions (e.g., exclusions for software errors which cannot be reproduced, which occur in an unsupported hardware and system software environment, or which has been modified by the customer or any third party)?
4. Does the warranty include appropriate conditions precedent to the vendor’s obligation to provide a remedy for failure of performance (e.g., a requirement that the vendor be able to reproduce the error or demonstrate the occurrence or a statement of the costs the customer will be required to bear for fixing the issue)?
5. Does the warranty include sole and exclusive remedies for breach of warranty (e.g., such as “the exclusive remedy for breach of warranty is to fix or repair the software”)?
6. Does the warranty include an alternate exclusive remedy in the event the first remedy fails of its essential purpose (e.g., “refund of the purchase price”)?
7. Typically in a limited warranty section, does the Agreement contain a conspicuous disclaimer of the implied warranty of merchantability and does it also disclaim the implied warranty of fitness for a particular purpose, the warranty of title, or a warranty of non-infringement?
8. Is there a warranty that the licensor has taken the necessary precautions to excluded viruses?
9. Are the limitations of liability set forth in a separate section of the agreement from the warranty?
10. Does the agreement contain an acknowledgment by the customer that the purchase price or license fee reflects the negotiated warranty provisions?

Provisions that should be included in a typical warranty section:

Based on the answers to the questions above, the warranty section should include some of the following warranties:

- Licensor warrants that the Software shall substantially conform to the Functional Specifications.
- The software or service provider has necessary equipment



and trained personnel to perform the services consistent with industry standards.

- The software will be free of material or hidden defects.
- The services will be performed in workmanlike manner.
- The services will be performed in accordance with industry standards.
- The software or service provider will comply with all applicable laws.
- The software or service provider warrants that it maintains an information security process with physical safeguards appropriate for the sensitivity of customer information.
- The warranty will have a time period, such as thirty (30) to sixty (60) days.
- *This is not an exhaustive list.

Remember, warranty sections are contractual promises on how the software or services will perform. It is always important to seek advice from experienced legal counsel in order to understand all the risks involved when negotiating software and service contracts.

Biographical Information:

Stephen F. Pinson is an attorney at Scott & Scott LLP — a business, intellectual property, and technology law firm — in Southlake, TX. He represents clients involved with intellectual property and technology disputes. Specifically, he assists clients with corporate and technology transactions. He also defends clients in software licensing and copyright infringement matters. Prior to joining the firm, Mr. Pinson practiced in high-stakes securities litigation, regulation, and enforcement actions. He spent the majority of his time prosecuting and defending large corporate clients, institutional investors, and Wall Street firms. Before entering the legal profession, he was a financial analyst for a large international investment bank. He may be reached at spinson@scottandscottllp.com. ▸



Texas Automobile Dealers Association
1108 Lavaca, Suite #800
Austin, TX 78701

PRSR STD
U.S. POSTAGE
PAID
SALT LAKE CITY, UT
PERMIT NO. 508

THIS MAGAZINE IS DESIGNED AND PUBLISHED BY THE NEWSLINK GROUP, LLC | 1.855.747.4003

A good website establishes professional presence, draws traffic, is an invaluable marketing tool, and increases revenue.

If your website isn't performing as it should. Call us.



news/LINK
GROUP

855.747.4003

Magazines | Newsletters | Annual Reports | Digital Media